

Overview of Responses and Recommendations

Budget Proposals 16/17 Phase Two: Trading Standards, Environmental Health and Licensing		Paul Anstey – Environmental Health and Licensing Manager / Sean Murphy – Trading Standards Manager	24 March 2016 Version 2 (Executive/Council)
Proposal:	It is proposed to change the way we deal with enquiries into the service by early identification of the risks and impact on residents and prioritising actions. This would save the council £160,000 phased over a three year period.		
Total budget 15/16:	£1,500,000	Recommended officer saving 16/17:	£50,000 (3%)
Initial proposed saving 16/17:	£50,000 (3%)	Final recommendation to Executive/Council:	To proceed with this savings proposal
No. of responses:	<p>In total, 7 responses were received, six of which included comments. Of those who responded:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Six were individuals • One was a group/organisation <p>One response was from a non-user of the service.</p>		
Key issues raised:	Two responses agreed with this proposal, one response asked if further shared services could be considered, one raised concerns over risk to the community and the council's legal position, one was concerned about compulsory redundancy and the last was an expression of concern of the risk.		
Equality issues:	No issues were raised during the consultation, that weren't already included in the EqIA stage one.		
Suggestions for reducing the impact on service users:	Suggestion	Council response	
	None received.		
Alternative options for applying the saving in this area:	Suggestion	Council response	
	None received.		
Suggestions for how others may help contribute:	None received.		

NB: This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Consultation Summary Report and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our [Consultation Portal](#).

Overview of Responses and Recommendations

Officer conclusion:	Feedback from the consultation process has not resulted in any issue being raised which would prevent the council from proceeding with the proposal. The feedback has also not generated any viable counter-proposal which would mitigate the proposal.
----------------------------	---

NB: This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Consultation Summary Report and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our [Consultation Portal](#).